

STATE OF MAINE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2000-1030

January 17, 2001

VERIZON NEW ENGLAND INC.
D/B/A VERIZON MAINE
Request for Approval of
Resale Agreement with
1-800-Reconex, Inc.

ORDER APPROVING
RESALE AGREEMENT
WITH 1-800-RECONEX, INC.

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

In this Order, we approve a resale agreement between Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Maine and 1-800-Reconex, Inc. (Reconex), pursuant to section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On December 21, 2000, Verizon Maine filed a negotiated Resale Agreement with Reconex pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, enacted by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. An agreement reached pursuant to that provision may allow a telecommunications carrier to purchase unbundled network elements, or local services at a discounted wholesale rate (the discount reflecting avoided cost), or both, from an incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC) or competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC).

Reconex will pay to Verizon Maine the discounted prices contained in the voluntary agreement that was reached pursuant to arms-length negotiations between the parties. The pricing standards contained in 47 U.S.C. § 252(d) apply only to arbitration proceedings under section 252(b) and not to negotiated agreements under section 252(a). Verizon Maine does not represent that the prices contained in the Agreement are consistent with the section 252(d) pricing standards or with any other state or federal policy.

Section 252(e)(2) states that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it finds that "the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or if "the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity." We received no comments by the comment deadline set in a January 3, 2001 Notice of Agreement and Opportunity to Comment. We do not make either of the findings set forth in section 252(e)(2) for rejection, and we therefore approve the agreement.

We qualify our approval in two respects, however, and reserve findings on future potential issues. First, we reserve judgment on whether the rates contained in the agreement are reasonable from the perspective of Verizon Maine's retail ratepayers. Verizon Maine is presently under an alternative form of regulation (AFOR) ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 94-123. The AFOR began in December, 1995. Under the AFOR, Verizon Maine bears the risk of lost revenues resulting from rates that are

too low. In Docket No. 99-851, we have continued the AFOR until May 30, 2001. We do not resolve whether Verizon Maine is receiving reasonable compensation from any CLECs that may avail themselves of the rates provided to Reconex pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(i).

Second, section 271(c) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 271(c), requires that the Bell Operating Companies (BOCs) meet certain requirements before they are allowed to provide interLATA service (the so-called "competitive checklist"). Under section 271(d)(3), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) must determine whether the BOC has met the competitive checklist before granting the BOC authority to provide interLATA service within its region. Prior to making that determination, the FCC must consult with state commissions in order to verify the compliance of the BOC with the checklist. Our approval of this Agreement should not be construed as a finding that Verizon Maine has met those requirements.

The agreement filed by Verizon Maine provides for resale of Verizon Maine's services in Maine by Reconex. If Reconex seeks to interconnect with networks maintained by independent local exchange carriers in Maine, or to resell services offered by those carriers, it must seek a termination, suspension, or modification of the exemption contained in 47 U.S.C. § 251(f)(1)(A).

If Reconex wishes to provide public utility services, it must seek Commission authorization to provide those services pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 2102, and we will require Reconex to maintain schedules of rates, terms, and conditions pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 304. The terms and conditions shall specify the areas in which the utility will actually provide originating and terminating local exchange service, and may do so by reference to incumbent local exchange carrier exchanges rather than by municipalities.

ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

Accordingly, we

1. Approve the Resale Agreement between Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Maine and 1-800-Reconex, Inc., attached hereto, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e);
2. Order that 1-800-Reconex, Inc. shall not provide local exchange telephone service until the Commission grants authority to 1-800-Reconex, Inc. to provide such service and until the Commission approves schedules of rates, terms and conditions for the provision of such service; and
3. Order that the Administrative Director shall make a copy of the attached Agreement available for public inspection and copying pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 252(h) within 10 days of the date of this Order.

Dated at Augusta, Maine this 17th day of January, 2001.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Dennis L. Keschl
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch
 Nugent
 Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

1. Reconsideration of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
2. Appeal of a final decision of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.